# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America	)	
	V.	)	
	JOSEPH J. PANEK,	) Case No. 4:12CR3006	
	Defendant	)	
	DETENTION O	RDER PENDING TRIAL	
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—	Findings of Fact	
$\Box$ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descri	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
	of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. for which the prison term is 10 years or 1	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) more.	
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		.*	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	e but involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was comfederal, state release or local offense.	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed s	ince the	
	from prison for the offense described in findi	ng (1).	
□ (4)		indings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the afety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alterna	tive Findings (A)	
$\Box$ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de	efendant has committed an offense	

 $\hfill\Box$  for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

 $\square$  (2)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

### **Alternative Findings (B)**

- X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

#### Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence  $\Box$  a preponderance of the evidence that

based on the defendant's criminal history, including his extensive history of failing to appear for court hearings or abide by orders of court supervision, and his extensive history of drug abuse, the defendant poses a risk of flight and harm to the community if released and no conditions have been currently been proposed which will sufficiently ameliorate that risk.

### Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: January 24, 2012 s/Cheryl R. Zwart

United States Magistrate Judge